University ...SOHAG Faculty MEDICINE Department

A- Basic Information

1. Title and Code:course. 1st part of Master course

- 2. Programme(s) on which this course is given: Msc
- 3. Year/ Level of programmes: 1st part.
- 4. Units/Credit hours:

	Lectures	Practical/ surgical	Clinical / Tutorial	Total
hours /week	2	6		8
Total	30	90		120

5. Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

1. Prof: DR.Nader El sherbiny

Course co-ordinator Dr: Medhat Ibrahim External evaluator Dr. Yousef Badran

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No 2 100%

No. of students completing the course: No. 2 100%

Results:

Passed: No. 2(100%) Failed: No. 0 (0) %

Grading of successful students:

Excellent: No. 0 (0%) % Very Good: No.2 (100%)

Good: No. 0 (0 %) Pass: No. 0 (0%)

C-Professional Information

1 – Course Teaching

Topic	No. of hours	Lecture
.Background Radiation-		Prof: DR.Nader El sherbiny
.Structure of matter-		Prof: DR.Nader El sherbiny
.Nuclear Transformation-		Prof: DR.Nader El sherbiny
.X- Ray Tube & Production-		Prof: DR.Nader El sherbiny
.Intensifying Screens-		Prof: DR.Nader El sherbiny
.Radiographic Film-		Prof: DR.Nader El sherbiny
.Radiographic Quality-		Prof: DR.Nader El sherbiny
.The Grid-		Prof: DR.Nader El sherbiny
.Special X ray equipments-		Prof: DR.Nader El sherbiny
.Beam Restricting Devices-		Prof: DR.Nader El sherbiny
.MRI-		Prof: DR.Nader El sherbiny
.CT-		Prof: DR.Nader El sherbiny
.Ultrasonography-		Prof: DR.Nader El sherbiny
.Detection of Radiation-		Prof: DR.Nader El sherbiny
.Radio-Production-		Prof: DR.Nader El sherbiny
Total		

To	pics	taught as	a	percentage	of the	content s	necified	:
- 0	Pics	inugii us	•••	percentage	or the	Content	pecifica	•

100 %	100 %		70-90 %		<70%
-------	-------	--	---------	--	------

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic

2- Teaching and Learning Methods:

Lectures:
Practical Training/ Laboratory:
Seminar/Working.
Class Activity.
Case Study:

Other Assignments/Homework:

Teaching skills in the casualty department, out-patient clinic and skill's lab on communication with patients, history taking doing vaginal examination for normal and abnormal cases, IUCD insertion and removal, episiotomy and its repair, computer skills and computer based learning.

3- Student Assessment:

Method of Assessment Percentage of total

Written examination 50%

Oral examination 30%

Practical/laboratory work 20%

Other Assignments/class work formative

Total 100 %

Members of Examination Committee

No examination was done

Role of external evaluator

The external evaluator was involved in revising the course specifications and the curriculum as regards its contents, methods of implementation and techniques of evaluation for each part.

4- Facilities and Teaching Materials:

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent



Inadequate

List any inadequacies:-

- 1) The Out patient clinics are not adequate for practical training.
- 2) The number of clinical representatives is inadequate.

5- Administrative Constraints

Although there is marked cooperation at the higher authority levels as regards making teaching facilities available, yet there is some shortage in the form of the number of clinical cases due the renovation of the hospitals infrastructures.

- **6- Student Evaluation of the course:** A questionnaire was filled by the students at the end of the course and before attending the final examination, the student's criticism was:-
 - *Absence of a dependable source for studying.
- * Absence of development of a good Rapport with their staff members.
- * Difference in opinion between their staff members.

 Response of Course Team: The course team discussed the points of criticism, the points of strength and the points of

weakness in the students evaluation and this will be reflected on the action plan for the new academic year.

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

The external evaluator feels that the contents of the course are adequate and covers the objective of the course core curriculum adequately.

The external evaluator had a look on the final examination and appreciated the wide area of the curriculum covered by this examination.

The external evaluator appreciated the effort of collecting the materials included in the lectures through the whole course as an electronic and written document

Response of Course Team:

The course team very respectfully appreciated the comments of the external evaluator.

.

8- Action Plan for Academic Year 2012 – 2013

Actions Required	Person Responsible	Completion Date
Department web site on which all lectures and teaching materials will be available for the students	Head of the department	mid-year 2013
Construction of institutional E-mails of the staff and their availability to the students	Quality assurance unite	mid-year 2013
Development of question bank	Head of the department	October 2012
A system for computer based examination	Head of the department	October 2012

Course Coordinator: Dr: Medhat Ibrahim	
Signature:	

Date: